

#### UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY REGION 1

5 Post Office Square, Suite 100 Boston, MA 02109-3912

#### Memorandum

Date:

February 21, 2013

Subject:

Release of documents previously determined to be confidential

To:

File

From:

Dan Wainberg, Acting Section Chief, RCRA Corrective Action

Three documents previously determined to be confidential were reviewed and have been determined to be releasable. The three documents include:

1. RCRA Prioritization System Scoring Summary

 Memorandum from John Podgurski dated July 12, 1993 with the subject, "Environmental Benefits review outcome for Synthetic Products Co, CTD001179688"

 Memorandum from Anni Loughlin dated September 18, 1992 with the subject, "Final Confidential NCAPS Data Input Package Comments, Synthetic Products, Stratford, CT, CTDOO1179688"

These documents were originally held as pre-decisional confidential because the information they contained was factored into prioritizing and determining whether to issue orders at RCRA Corrective Action sites.



National Service Center for Environmental Publications (NSCEP)

#### **Document Display**

Page 1 (1 of 2): 1 - 2 **₩ 4 22 >>** M Pages per View -

E GET A COPY P

**SEPA** 

## **Environmental Fact Sheet**

The National Corrective Action **Prioritization System** 

#### Corrective Action: A Background

In 1984, Congress amended the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA), and provided EPA with broad new authorities to require cleanup, or "corrective action", at hazardous waste management facilities. Corrective action can involve a wide variety of activities, including cleanup of contaminated environmental media such as soils and ground water, treatment of the sources of contamination, and actions to control or prevent exposure to contamination. EPA can require corrective action at facilities that have RCRA permits as well as at facilities that are operating under "interim

#### **Setting Priorities**

Currently, there are approximately 4,300 facilities that treat, store. or dispose of hazardous wastes, which can be compelled to take corrective action when necessary. Some facilities are very large and have extensive contamination problems which rival the largest Superfund sites. Other facilities have relatively minor environmental problems. Still others will not need remedial action at all. Given this diversity, the large number of RCRA facilities, and the technical complexities of remediation, EPA and the States

must set priorities in deciding which facilities should receive attention, and when.

#### What is NCAPS?

It is EPA's policy to compel cor-rective action at the "worst sites first." As a result, the Agency has developed a system for assessing the relative environmental cleanup priority of RCRA facilities, called the National Corrective Action Prioritization System (NCAPS). This system is intended to provide a nationally consistent approach to assessing site factors that drive cleanup priority decisions.

NCAPS is a computer-based system that considers a variety of environmental factors in assessing the priority of sites, such as the types and volumes of wastes present, contaminant release pathways, and the potential for exposure to contaminants by humans and ecosystems. In this sense, the system is similar to the Hazard Ranking System (HRS) used by the Superfund program. However, NCAPS is designed to be a less resource intensive system to use, and provides priority rankings with less site data than is normally required for HRS scoring.

Please help us improve this service. If you find a document that has a defect (page missing, rotated, clipped, etc.), use the reporting mechanism ( 🗗 on the tool bar above ) to report the

CONFIDENTIAL

#### RCRA PRIORITIZATION SYSTEM SCORING SUMMARY

FOR

SYNTHETIC PROD. CO.

EPA SITE NUMBER: CTD001179688

STRATFORD, CT

SCORED BY: TARA ABBOTT TAFT

OF CDM FEDERAL PROGRAMS CORP

ON 09/01/92

#### WS-1 GROUNDWATER ROUTE

IS THERE AN OBSERVED RELEASE? N

ROUTE CHARACTERISTICS

DEPTH TO AQUIFER (FT.) : 10

NET PRECIPITATION (IN.): 18

PHYSICAL STATE: LIQUID, GAS, SLUDGE

CONTAINMENT: FAIR

WASTE CHARACTERISTICS

CHEMICAL NAME OR WASTE CODE NUMBER: LEAD

TOXICITY/PERSISTANCE VALUE: 18

QUANTITY KNOWN? YES

CUBIC YARDS OR TONS: 0
DRUMS: 40

TARGETS

GROUNDWATER USE: POSSIBLE DRINKING WATER

DISTANCE TO WELL (MILES): 2.0

#### WS-2 SURFACE WATER ROUTE

#### RELEASES

IS THERE AN OBSERVED RELEASE? N

IS THERE A PERMITTED OUTFALL? N

HAVE THERE BEEN PERMIT VIOLATIONS? N

#### ROUTE CHARACTERISTICS

FACILITY LOCATION: OTHER

24-HOUR RAINFALL: 2.5

DISTANCE TO SURFACE WATER (MILES): 0.70

PHYSICAL STATE: LIQUID, GAS, SLUDGE

#### CONTAINMENT: FAIR

#### WASTE CHARACTERISTICS

CHEMICAL NAME OR WASTE CODE NUMBER: LEAD

TOXICITY/PERSISTANCE VALUE: 18

QUANTITY KNOWN? YES

CUBIC YARDS OR TONS: 0
DRUMS: 40

#### TARGETS

SURFACE WATER USE: POSSIBLE DRINKING WATER OR RECREATION
DISTANCE TO INTAKE OR CONTACT POINT (MILES): 0.7
DISTANCE TO SENSITIVE ENVIRONMENT (MILES): 0.7

#### WS-3 AIR ROUTE

#### RELEASES

IS THERE AN OBSERVED, UNPERMITTED, ON-GOING RELEASE? N

DOES THE FACILITY HAVE AN AIR OPERATING PERMIT(S)? N

HAVE THERE BEEN ANY PERMIT VIOLATIONS OR ODOR COMPLAINTS BY RESIDENTS? N

CAN CONTAMINANTS MIGRATE INTO AIR? Y

CONTAINMENT: FAIR

#### WASTE CHARACTERISTICS

CHEMICAL NAME OR WASTE CODE NUMBER: LEAD

TOXICITY/PERSISTANCE VALUE: 3

QUANTITY KNOWN? YES

CUBIC YARDS OR TONS: 0
DRUMS: 40

#### TARGETS

POPULATION: RESIDENCES ARE LOCATED WITHIN FOUR MILES DISTANCE TO SENSITIVE ENVIRONMENT (MILES): 0.7

#### WS-4 ON SITE CONTAMINATION

ACCESS TO SITE: LIMITED ACCESS

IS THERE AN OBSERVED SURFACE SOIL CONTAMINATION? N

CONTAINMENT: FAIR

WASTE CHARACTERISTICS

CHEMICAL NAME OR WASTE CODE NUMBER: LEAD

TOXICITY/PERSISTANCE VALUE: 3

TARGETS

DISTANCE TO RESIDENTIAL AREAS (MILES): 0.10

IS THERE AN ON-SITE SENSITIVE ENVIRONMENT: N

## UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY REGION I

J.F. KENNEDY FEDERAL BUILDING, BOSTON, MA 02203-2211

#### MEMORANDUM

DATE: July 12, 1993

SUBJ: Environmental Benefits review outcome for Synthetic Products Co

CTD001179688

FROM: John Podgurski, Chief

CT Waste Regulation Section

TO: File

On June 28, 1993 Synthetic Products Co, underwent an environmental benefit review to complete determination of the facility's environmental priority. Based on this environmental benefit review the environmental priority of the facility is LOW.

CONFIDENTIAL

The NCAPS based environmental significance category of LOW for this site was adopted as the environmental priority of the facility.

The NCAPS based environmental significance was adopted as the facility's environmental priority because the environmental benefit review found no factors to warrant assigning an overall environmental priority different than the environmental significance of the facility.

To my knowledge this is the first environmental benefit review of this project.

cc: Larry Brill
David Webster
State Coordinator
Ernest Waterman

#### UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY REGION I

J.F. KENNEDY FEDERAL BUILDING, BOSTON, MA 02203-2211

CONFIDENTIAL

RCRA RECORDS

#### MEMORANDUM

DATE: July 12, 1993

SUBJ: Environmental Benefits review outcome for Synthetic Products Co

CTD001179688

FROM: John Podgurski, Chief

CT Waste Regulation Section

TO: File

On June 28, 1993 Synthetic Products Co, underwent an environmental benefit review to complete determination of the facility's environmental priority. Based on this environmental benefit review the environmental priority of the facility is LOW.

The NCAPS based environmental significance category of LOW for this site was adopted as the environmental priority of the facility.

The NCAPS based environmental significance was adopted as the facility's environmental priority because the environmental benefit review found no factors to warrant assigning an overall environmental priority different than the environmental significance of the facility.

To my knowledge this is the first environmental benefit review of this project.

cc: Larry Brill
David Webster
State Coordinator
Ernest Waterman

## U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY REGION I J.F.K. FEDERAL BUILDING, BOSTON, MA 02203-2211

ANDUM

1: September 18, 1992

BJ: Final Confidential NCAPS Data Input Package Comments Synthetic Products Stratford, CT CTD001179688

FROM: Anni Loughlin

Solid Waste & GIS Section

TO: Ernest Waterman

ME, NH, & VT Waste Regulation Section

The following is a listing of comments on the draft NCAPS Data Input Package that were not addressed in the final version.

Page 4: The size for AOC #1 should be 3300 gallons, the maximum historical capacity.

The size for AOC #3 should be 1 55-gallon drum.

The size for AOC #4 should be 30' X 30'.

Page 5: Oily waste should be indicated on Worksheet #2 for AOC #2.

Lead oxide, cadmium, chromium, and zinc should be listed for AOC #4 on Worksheet #2.

Page 7: Depth to groundwater is unknown.

Page 15: There are no public wells within a four mile radius, however, the locations of private wells are unknown. Based on the large number of people with private wells in the area, 2-3 miles is probably not a very conservative estimate.

Page 19: There are storm drains on-site, which discharge directly to Johnson Creek, 1.3 miles away. The closest body of surface water is Selby Pond, which is 0.7 miles away.

Page 35: The waste quantities for AOCs with only "Good" storage have not been taken into consideration here. The waste quantity should be the same as previously reported - about 30-40 drums, not including the combined 4,000 gallons of raw product oil stored in tanks. Up to 60 drums have been stored in the drum storage area in the past (based on information on page 9 of the draft PA-Plus).

RCRA RECORDS CENTER
FACILITY TOWN FOLLOWS
I.D. NO. CTDOO 179688
FILE LOC. R.5
OTHER

## U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY REGION I



## RESOURCE CONSERVATION AND RECOVERY ACT (RCRA) DATA INPUT FORMS FOR SUMMARY MODEL

Facility Name:	Synthetic Produc	<del>/</del> 2
EPA ID#:	CT D001179688	
Address:	1525 Stratford	
	Stratford, CT	
Facility Contact: Arres	Kalanta	Title: Envir Mgr Phone: 203/377-5550

	2. D .			
Analyst Name:	zik Danku	f Tit	e: Hydro	geologist.
	J			
Organization:	TRCC.			
Organization:	1 10			
1	,—,		1	N-
Phone: 508/976	2-5600	Date:	6-10	

**DRAFT**CONFIDENTIAL

#### REFERENCES

Please provide the name and date (and pages, if appropriate) of each document used to complete this booklet.

No.	Reference			
1		reference.	s attached	-
2				
3		•		
4	~	a a	. 1.	
5		*		
6				
7				
8				
9			2 K	
10				
11				
				ä
12				
13			*:	
14				
15			· ·	

726223-14 9691

-3-6

**DRAFT**CONFIDENTIAL

Ref # NCAPS

#### REFERENCES

#1

Bankey (TRCC), 1992a. Site reconnaissance and interview with James Kalanta, Environmental Manager, performed on May 7, 1992.

Bankey (TRCC), 1992b. Project note: Distance Ring Calculations, May, 14, 1992.

# 4

Bankey (TRCC), 1992c. Project note: Surface Water Pathway Calculations, May, 26, 1992.

Bankey (TRCC), 1992d. Telecon with Ron O'Malley, Town of Stratford Engineering Department, June 3, 1992.

Bankey (TRCC), 1992e Telecon with Bonnie Vail, Stratford Assessor's Office, May 20, 1992.

Bankey (TRCC), 1992f Telecon with James Kalanta, Environmental Manager, Synthetic Products, June 3, 1992.

CTDEP, 1978. Groundwater Availability in Connecticut. Connecticut Department of Environmental Protection, Natural Resources Center.

CTDEP, 1981. RCRA Inspection Report of Synthetic, conducted by Hassler of CTDEP, February 6, 1981).

CTDEP, 1982. Atlas of Connecticut Water Supplies. Connecticut Department of Environmental Protection.

CTDEP, 1984. Letter from Leo Grondine, Division of Operations at Synthetic to Steve Hitchcock, Director of Hazardous Waste Management Unit (HWMU), CTDEP, June 22, 1984.

CTDEP, 1986a. RCRA Inspection Report of site conducted by Pete Zack and Jim Carr of the CTDEP, February 9, 1986.

CTDEP, 1986b. Letter from the Director of the CTDEP Hazardous Material Management Unit (HWMU) to Synthetic President, September 12, 1986.

CTDEP, 1987a. CTDEP 1986 Facility Hazardous Waste Annual Report with the CTDEP March 18, 1987.

CTDEP, 1987b. Water Quality Classification Map of Connecticut, CTDEP, Natural Resources Center, 1987.

CTDEP, 1988. Letter from the Steve Hitchcock, Director of the CTDEP HWMU, to James Kalanta, Environmental Manager, Synthetic, May 16, 1988.



CTDEP, 1989a. Letter from George Dews, HWMS, CTDEP, to James Kanlanta, Environmental Manager, Synthetic, January 6, 1989.

CTDEP, 1989b. CTDEP 1988 Hazardous Waste Facility Report, February 27, 1989.

CTDEP, 1989c. RCRA Inspection Report for Synthetic, conducted by D. Stokes and M. Guancnaccia of CTDEP, September 11, 1989.

CTDEP, 1991. RCRA Inspection Report of Synthetic, conducted by D. Chernauskas, R. Garbauskes, and M. Jepsen of CTDEP, June 4, 1991.

CT Geological, 1985. Bedrock Geology Map, CT Geological and Natural History Survey, 1985.

EPA, 1980a. Initial Notification of Hazardous Waste Activity Form filed with the EPA, August 14, 1980.

EPA, 1980b. Hazardous Waste Permit Application for Auto Swage filed with the EPA, November 14, 1980.

EPA 1982a. RCRA Inspection Report, conducted by Dan Granz and Steve Magion, February 4, 1982.

EPA 1982b. EPA Administrative Complaint issued to Auto Swage, September 30, 1982.

EPA 1983a. EPA Consent Agreement Order with Auto Swage, April 20, 1983.

EPA 1983b. RCRA Inspection Report, conducted by Irv Leichter and A.T. Kearney, EPA contractors, September 1, 1983.

EPA, 1984. EPA Hazardous Waste Permit Application filed by Synthetic, June 22, 1984.

EPA 1988a. Letter from Merrill Hohman, Director Waste Management Division, EPA to James Kalanta, Environmental Manager, Synthetic, January 12, 1988.

EPA 1988b. Letter from Michael O'Brien, EPA Environmental Engineer, James Kalanta, Environmental Manager, Synthetic, November 27, 1988.

- #7 EPA, 1992. EPA Region I, Integrated Environmental Management System Printout, February 2, 1992.
- FEMA, 1990. Flood Insurance Rate Map, Stratford, Connecticut. Federal Emergency Management Agency. Community-Panel No. 090016 0003 D, April 16, 1990.
- F & WS, 1980. Atlantic Coast Ecological Inventory Map, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, 1980.

**DRAFT**CONFIDENTIAL

HRP, 1983. Hazardous Waste Facility Closure Plan, HRP Associates, September, 1983.

Nelson, (TRCC), 1991. Telecon with Dave Radka (Connecticut Water Company), RE: Wellhead Protection Areas. September 30, 1991.

Schmidl, (TRCC), 1992a. Telecon with South Central Connecticut Regional Water Authority, RE: Areas Served by Public Water, January 13, 1992.

USDA, 1981. Soil Survey of Fairfield County, Connecticut, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Soil Conservation Service, February, 1981.

USDOI, 1980a. US Department of Interior, National Wetlands Inventory Map, Bridgeport Quadrangle, CT.

USDOI, 1980b. US Department of Interior, National Wetlands Inventory Map, Milford Quadrangle, CT, April.

USGS, 1984a. Bridgeport Quadrangle, Connecticut. U.S. Geologic Survey, 7.5 Minute Series Topographic Map, 1970, photorevised 1984.

USGS, 1984b. Milford Quadrangle, Connecticut. U.S. Geologic Survey, 7.5 Minute Series Topographic Map, 1967, photorevised 1984.

USGS, 1990. Water Resources Data - Connecticut, Water Year 1990. U.S. Geological Survey Water - Data Report CT-90-1.



## WORKSHEET #1 Facility Unit Identification

List each specific unit at the site, its size, and condition of containment. Use this worksheet to identify the site's specific units and to select the worst containment level for each unit and for each pathway. Within the selected condition of containment, assign GW for the groundwater route, SW for the surface water route, AR for the air route, and OS for the on-site route. The worst conditions will be entered on the data sheets for each route.

			Con	dition of	Contain	ment	
Area or Unit #	Area or Unit Name & Description	Size	Very Good	Good	Fair	Poor	Ref #
1	Bairel Storage Area	30	·		Guaro		
2	Mixing Room	20' x30'		AR	GW SW 05		
3	Kerosene Storage Area	open			332m		
4	Roll Mill Area	30' x 20'		AR	8W 8W		
5	Raw Product Oil Tanks	2-1,000 gallon	* -	AR	GW 5W 05	10.7	12.0
6	Row Product Oil Tank	2,000 gallon	42.	332V	# *	2.1	
7 .	na cau i ci, a		# //	15.15.2 5	. 29		. *** ***
8		,					
9	4		a film Vitar				
10			a+ (74)				
11							
12		<del>:</del>	**************************************				
13	ii .	•					
14			,				
15		·					

-4- check here if additional sheets are TORDENTIAL

## WORKSHEET #2 Unit Contents

Use this worksheet to check off the specific chemicals found at each unit. Refer to areas or units by number based on Worksheet #1. If specific chemicals have not been identified, use chemical groups.

Chemical Name or Waste Type							Area	or U	nit #						
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
Raw Product O; ls					X										
Vinyl Silane						X								-	
Lead-based Material & Powders	Y	X		X											
Lead Oxide		X					1								
Cadmium	X	X													
Chromium	X	X													
Zinc	X	X													
0	Γ														
		1													
						Π			,						Γ
					Γ										Γ
4	Γ							-	- 11.						
															Γ
sludge (Kerosene Sludge)	X		X												
Oily Waste	X								7.	.,	1 (A) 21 (A)				
Solvents															
Pesticides						ė									
Other Organic Chemicals							1.1		٠,,						
Inorganic Chemicals															
Acids															
Bases															
Heavy Metals	T				T	T	T.	T	Π						

-5- CONFIDENTIAL

#### **GROUNDWATER ROUTE** Instructions & Sources First Page

A.	Ob	serv	ed R	elease
----	----	------	------	--------

01	Is The	70 20	Ohes	howard	Ral	02507
	13 1116	ic an	UUSE	IACA	LI CI	EG36:

Yes, if there is documented groundwater contamination above background levels or above the drinking water maximum contaminant level (MCL); or No, if there is no documentation or indication of a release; Possible, if groundwater quality data or the site visit lead you to believe a release to the groundwater may have taken place (e.g., unlined lagoon, spill residue on ground, underground storage tank with no groundwater monitoring system, or subsurface/surface soil contamination). Documentation may include analytical evidence, or a report by a regulatory agency or by a facility employee

	stating that a release has occurred.
	Sources (circle): Monitoring Reports; Site Visit: 3007 Response; Water Compliance Monitoring Files; Site Inspections: Spill Reports.  Comment and other source (date): 10000
Route	<u>Characteristics</u>
*1.	Depth to Aquifer
	Enter the depth from ground surface to the aquifer beneath the site (in feet).
	Sources (circle): Monitoring Reports; Inspection Reports; Part B.  Comment and other source (date): (Intervented to the comment and other source)
2.	Net Precipitation
	Subtract mean annual lake evaporation from the normal annual total precipitation in order to obtain the average net precipitation for the area.
	Sources (circle): See Appendix B.  Comment and other source (date): Climatic Atlas of the U.S.
3.	Physical State
	Evaluate the physical state of the waste most likely to impact the groundwater in the event of a release. Consider the volume, condition and content and select the least

stable solid unstable solid powder, ash liquid, gas, sludge

stable physical state of the wastes on site:

Sources (circle) Site Visit; Inspection Reports; Part A. Comment and other source (date):

> DRAFT CONFIDENTIAL

## Data & Comments First Page

C	E
0	8
n	8
f	i
ī	m
r	a
m	2
	6
d	d

Observed Releases

Is there an observed release? (circle one):

Possible

Comments:

No information from "site reconnaissance or file review that Indicates a release

10	Donne	Champatanistica
B.	noute	Characteristics

1.	Depth to Aquifer (feet): unknown	÷
2.	Net Precipitation (inches): 18.49	337
3	Physical State (check one):	
	Stable Solid (most stable) Unstable Solid Powder, Ash Liquid, Gas. Sludge (least stable)	

#### GROUNDWATER ROUTE Instructions & Sources Second Page

#### C. Containment

\*1. Containment is a measure of the physical barriers in place to inhibit a waste from entering the groundwater pathway either now or in the past. Do not consider natural barriers (e.g., an underlying clay layer) when evaluating containment criteria. If there are multiple SWMUs, select the SWMU with the worst containment level. Interpret the descriptions in site reports or similar documents, using the following criteria as guidelines:

Unit	Migration/Potential	Score
Sealed Container/Tank	Sound Secondary Containment	Very Good
Sealed Container/Tank	Unsound Secondary Containment	Good
Leaky Container/Tank	Sound Secondary Containment	Good
Underground Storage Tank	Tank Integrity Unknown	Good
Sealed Container/Tank	No Secondary Containment	Fair
Leaky Container/Tank	Unsound Secondary Containment	Fair ·
Leaky Container/Tank	No Secondary Containment	Poor
Land-based Unit	*	Poor

Unit scored (include description and dates in use); use Worksheet #1:
AOC #1 Barrel Storage Area

Sources (circle) Inspection Reports: Water	Compliance F	Reports; Site Visit	3007 Response.
Comment and other source (date):	1.2		

## Data & Comments Second Page

Containment (check one):

Very Good Good

Poor

The barrels were in good condition on a concrete floor, however, there was no berm or other secondary containment. Staining on the floor was noted also.

#### GROUNDWATER ROUTE Instructions & Sources Third Page

#### D. Waste Characteristics

2.

1. Chemical Name and/or RCRA Waste Code Number

Enter the one chemical or waste code of most concern (for the groundwater route) as defined by the chemical with the highest Sax toxicity rating, as found in Appendix A. Use *Worksheet #3* to determine toxicity/persistence for each chemical of concern for the groundwater route (included in Worksheet #2). Do not consider concentrations of contaminants.

Analytical Data. For determining most toxic compound: See Appendix A.
Comment and other source (date):
Toxicity/Persistence
Value for the chemical or waste of concern. This contaminant should be at a SWMU
that has a containment score less than "VERY GOOD". Refer to Worksheet #3.
Sources (circle) See Appendix A
Comment and other course (date):

## WORKSHEET #3 Chemical Toxicity/Persistence Values for Groundwater and Surface Water Routes

Identify and list each chemical at the site which has the potential to migrate to the groundwater or surface water routes. List the RCRA waste code and CAS number, if known. Obtain toxicity/ persistence values from Appendix A for each chemical. Use the worksheet to select the chemical with the highest toxicity/persistence value (0-18) and enter its name and value in the Waste Characteristics section for the groundwater and surface water routes. Note in comments if toxicity/persistence information was not available.

CAS#	Chemical Name and/or Waste Code	toxicity/persistence 0-18	GW or SW
	Lead-based powders (DOOR) Lead oxide, cadmium, chromium,	18	Ge/Su
4	Lead oxide, caddium, chromium,	18	Gw/su
	Zini	12	GW/SU
	Raw Product O; 19.		GN/SH
	Vinul Silane	_	GW/SI
			1

-10- Confidential confidence of additional sheets a DRCAFT

# GROUNDWATER ROUTE Data & Comments Third Page

#### D. Waste Characteristics

1. Chemical Name and/or RCRA Waste Code Number: Lead based powder (DOD)

2. Toxicity/Persistence Value (circle one):

0 3 6 9 12 15 18

Comments:

#### GROUNDWATER ROUTE Instructions & Sources Fourth Page

#### °3. Waste Quantity

Report wastes for units only if containment is other than "VERY GOOD." If Containment is "VERY GOOD" for all units, waste quantity equals zero.

If quantity is known, convert data to a common unit: assume 1 ton = 1 cubic yard = 4 drums. For the purpose of converting bulk storage, assume 1 drum = 50 gallons. Enter waste quantity in cubic yards, tons or drums.

If quantity is unknown, estimate waste quantity using the following criteria:

 $< 10 \text{ yd}^3 \text{ (or } < 40 \text{ drums)}$  small  $100 - 1,000 \text{ yd}^3 \text{ (or } 400 - 4,000 \text{ drums)}$  large  $> 1,000 \text{ yd}^3 \text{ (or } > 4,000 \text{ drums)}$  large storage or disposal areas

If the site has multiple SWMUs, combine all waste quantities for SWMUs capable of migrating to groundwater (containment scores less than "VERY GOOD"). Use Worksheet #1 to assist in combining waste quantities.

Sources (circle): Part A; Tank Capacities;	Permitted Drum Storage Capacity	nspection
Reports; 3007 Response; Annual Report	ts; Part B.	
Comment and other source (date):		



#### **GROUNDWATER ROUTE** Data & Comments Fourth Page

C	E
0	
n	1
*	1
i	FFT
	8
m	
d	d
	D

3.	Quantity Known (circle one)?
	Yes No
	If Yes, enter actual amount:
	cubic yards or tons drums
	If No, check one:
	☐ Is amount likely to be small?☐ Is amount likely to be large?☐ Are large storage or disposal areas present?

#### Comments:

Up to 60 drums have been stored in the Barrel Storage Area.
This quantity does not include the combined 4, vov gallons of raw product oil stored in a bove ground tanks.

# GROUNDWATER ROUTE Instructions & Sources Fifth Page

E.	Targets

#### \*1 Groundwater Use

Options are given in order from the most critical (Drinking Water) to the least critical (Not Impacted). Check the most critical groundwater use that occurs within 3 miles of the site. "Drinking Water" indicates that the groundwater was previously used, is presently used, or is likely to be used in the future for drinking water. If drinking water use is not documented, check Possible Drinking Water, unless specific information refutes this possibility (for example, industrial use of unusable aquifer due to low yield).

If you can verify that none of these uses apply, then check:

Quality Impacted, if there is an observed release. Quality Not Impacted, if there is no observed release.

#### \*2. Distance to Intake

Distance (in miles) to the nearest drinking water well within 3 miles of the facility. If unknown, use distance between hazardous substance and nearest residence where groundwater may be used for drinking water. If the use of the groundwater is unknown ("Possible Drinking Water"), "Quality Impacted," or "Quality Not Impacted," assign "2 to 3 miles" for the distance. If the groundwater flow direction is known, do not consider upgradient wells as receptors.

Source (circle): GIS; USGS Topographic Map or Site Map; Site Visit; Part A; State Atlas. Comment and other source (date):



# GROUNDWATER ROUTE Data & Comments Fifth Page

# Targets 1. Groundwater Use (check only one): Drinking Water Possible Drinking Water Agriculture or Industrial Quality Impacted Quality Not Impacted 2. Distance to intake (to the nearest ½ mile): 2-3 Comments: The distance to the nearest intake is unknown.

#### SURFACE WATER ROUTE Instructions & Sources First Page

The surface water pathway is assessed to determine whether contaminated runoff has reached surface water or if site characteristics make a release to surface water likely.

<u>A.</u>	Obser	Observed Release		
	•1.	Yes, if there is a evidence of a direct discharge of contaminants to surface water; No, otherwise. A direct dishcarge can include such events as spills, runoff from contaminated soils, or discharge of contaminated groundwater. Documentation may include analytical evidence, a report by a regulatory agency or by a facility employee stating that a release has occurred.  Sources (circle): Site Visit: Monitoring Reports: 3007 Response.  Comment and other source (date):		
В	Likelih	nood of Release		
	1a.	Permitted Outfall		
	k.	Yes, if there is a permitted outfall; No, if there is not.		
		Sources (circle): Department of Environmental Protection; EPA Files  Comment and other source (date):		
	1b.	Violations		
		Yes, if there have been permit violations; No, if there have not.		
	ä	Sources (circle): Department of Environmental Protection; EPA Files  Comment and other source (date):		
	•2.	Facility Location		
		Select flood prone area, 100-year floodplain, or other. If floodplain information is unavailable, check "Other."		
		Sources (circle): Flood Insurance Study Maps.  Comment and other source (date):		



#### SURFACE WATER ROUTE Data & Comments First Page



Is there an observed release? (circle one)

Yes



Comments:

No information in the files reviewed on site reconnaissance indicate a release to surface water.

В. Likelihood of Release

> 500 year floodplain

1a.	Is there a permitte (circle one)	ed outfall?			
	Yes	No	a j		
1b.	If so, have there to (circle one)	peen permit violations?	i		
	Yes	No			
2.	Facility Location (	check one):		<u> </u>	Z C
	☐ Flood prone ard ☐ 100-year flood ☐ Other		2		
Comm	ients:				

CONFIDENTIAL

#### SURFACE WATER ROUTE Instructions & Sources Second Page

Route	Characteristics		
1.	24-hour Rainfall		
	Enter the 1-year, 24-hour rainfall. Refer to contour maps in Appendix D.		
	Sources (circle): See Appendix B.  Comment and other source (date):		
•2.	Distance to Surface Water		
	Enter distance in miles. If surface water is discharged to a stream or river through a ditch, then, if the ditch always has water in it, use the distance to the ditch; if water in the ditch is intermittent, use the distance to the stream or river.		
	Sources (circle): USGS; Site Visit; GIS.  Comment and other source (date):  From PPE  # 4		
3.	Physical State		
	Evaluate the physical state of the waste most likely to impact surface water in the event of a release. If there are multiple SWMUs, select the least stable physical state of the wastes on site:		
	stable solid unstable solid powder ash liquid, gas, sludge		
	Sources (circle): 3007 Response; Site Visit.  Comment and other source (date):		



P

C.

# SURFACE WATER ROUTE Data & Comments Second Page

#### C. Route Characteristics

- 1. 24-hour Rainfall (inches): 2.5
- 2. Distance to Surface Water (miles): 0.
- 3. Physical State (check one):
  - ☐ Stable Solid (most stable)
  - ☐ Unstable Solid
  - Powder, Ash
  - D Liquid, Gas, Sludge (least stable)

Comments:

#### SURFACE WATER ROUTE Instructions & Sources Third Page

#### \*4. Containment

Containment is a measure of the physical barriers in place to inhibit a waste from entering the surface water pathway. If there are multiple SWMUs, select the SWMU with the worst containment level.

Use the following criteria as guidelines (e.g., consider a lined lagoon with unbreached berms as a "sealed container"):

	Unit	Containment/Migration Potential	Score
	Sealed Container/Tank	Sound Secondary Containment	Very Good
	Sealed Container/Tank	Unsound Secondary Containment	Good
	Leaky Container/Tank	Sound Secondary Containment	Good
	Underground Storage Tank	Tank Integrity Unknown	Good
(	Sealed Container/Tank	No Secondary Containment	Fair
	Leaky Container/Tank	Unsound Secondary Containment	Fair
	Leaky Container/Tank	No Secondary Containment	Poor
	Land-based Unit		Poor
	Contaminated Groundwater	Discharge to Surface Water	Poor
	Contaminated Surface Soil	Runoff to Surface Water Likely	Poor

Land-based Unit		Poor
Contaminated Groundwater	Discharge to Surface Water	Poor
Contaminated Surface Soil	Runoff to Surface Water Likely	Poor
Unit scored (include description AOC #) Rarre	on and dates in use); use Worksheer #1:	
	eports) 3007 Response; Site Visit.	

**DRAFT**CONFIDENTIAL

# SURFACE WATER ROUTE Data & Comments Third Page

C E n t i i m r a m t e e d d

- 4. Containment (check one):
  - ☐ Very Good
  - ☐ Good
  - Fair
  - Poor

#### Comments:

The barrels were in good condition on a concrete floor, however, there was staining and no secondary containment.

# SURFACE WATER ROUTE Instructions & Sources Fourth Page

D.	Was	te Characteristics
	1.	Chemical Name and/or RCRA Waste Code Number
		Enter the one chemical or waste code of most concern (for the surface water route as defined by the chemical with the highest Sax toxicity rating, as found in Appendi A. Use Worksheet #3 (on page 10 in groundwater section) to determine toxicity persistence for each chemical of concern for the surface water route (included i Worksheet #2).
		Sources (circle): For determining contaminants of concern Site Visit, Surface Water Sediment Analytical Data. For determining most toxic compound: See Appendix A. Comment and other source (date):
	2.	Toxicity/Persistence
		Value for the chemical or waste of concern. Refer to Worksheet #3

Sources (circle): See Appendix A.
Comment and other source (date): \_



# SURFACE WATER ROUTE Data & Comments Fourth Page

D. Waste Characteristics

1. Chemical Name and/or RCRA Waste Code Number:

lead based powders (DOO8)

2. Toxicity/Persistence Value (circle one):

0 3 6 9 12 15 (18

Comments:

#### SURFACE WATER ROUTE Instructions & Sources Fifth Page

#### °3. Waste Quantity

Report units only if containment is other than "Very Good." If Containment is "VERY GOOD" for all units, waste quantity equals zero.

If quantity is known, convert data to a common unit, assume 1 ton = 1 cubic yard = 4 drums. For the purpose of converting bulk storage, assume 1 drum = 50 gallons. Enter waste quantity in cubic yards, tons or drums.

If quantity is unknown, estimate waste quantity using the following criteria:

 $< 10 \text{ yd}^3 \text{ (or } < 40 \text{ drums)}$  small  $100 - 1,000 \text{ yd}^3 \text{ (or } 400 - 4,000 \text{ drums)}$  large  $100 - 1,000 \text{ yd}^3 \text{ (or } > 4,000 \text{ drums)}$  large storage or disposal areas

If the site has multiple SWMUs, use combined waste quantities. Use Worksheet #1 to assist in combining waste quantities. Generally, amount would be small for only contaminated groundwater discharging to surface water or if there is just a likelihood that contaminated soil is likely to reach surface water through surface runoff.

Sources (circle): Part A; (Inspection Reports) 3007	Response; Annual Reports; Part B.
Comment and other source (date):	2



#### SURFACE WATER ROUTE Data & Comments Fifth Page

3.	Waste	Quantity Known?	(circle one)
	Yes	No	
	SEY OS	enter amount:	

cubic yards or tons

If No, check one:

- Is amount likely to be small? Is amount likely to be large?
- ☐ Are large storage or disposal areas present?

Comments:

Up to 60 drums have been stored in the Barrel Storage Area This quantity does not include the combined 4,000 gallons of raw product oil stored in the above-ground tanks.

# SURFACE WATER ROUTE Instructions & Sources Sixth Page

E.	Targets

#### \*1. Type of Surface Water Use

Options are given in order from most critical (Drinking Water) to least critical. Check the most critical that applies. Check "Drinking Water" if surface water was previously used, is presently used, or is likely to be used in the future as drinking water. If drinking water use is not documented, check "Possible Drinking Water", unless specific information refutes this possibility.

If there is no information regarding the use of a river or stream, assume recreational use. Often, close recreational use is more likely to have an impact than a drinking water intake. If you can verify that none of the uses apply, then check:

Quality Impacted, if there is an observed release.

Quality Not Impacted, if there is no observed release.

Further distinguish depending on whether the distance to surface water is < 3 miles.

Sources (circle): GIS; Site Visit; Local Water Department.	",	*
Comment and other source (date):	#5	

#### \*2. Distance to Intake or Contact Point

Distance from site to the point of surface water use (drinking water intake, recreation area, etc.). If there is no information on the use of a surface water body receiving a discharge from the facility, the distance to the contact point should be the distance from the facility to the nearest point of the surface water body. If discharge is through a ditch, use the distance to the stream, river, or water body, not the distance to the ditch.

Sources (circle): Hydrographic Atlas;	GIS; Site	Visit;	Town Records.	00-	1
Sources (circle): Hydrographic Atlas; Comment and other source (date): _	#4 €	#-5	from	PYE	

#### \*3. Distance to Sensitive Environment.

Enter the distance from the site to a sensitive environment along the surface water route. Sensitive environments include freshwater wetlands (greater than 2 acres), marshes, swamps, parks (national or state), and critical habitats of state and federal proposed and listed endangered species.

Sources (circle): GIS; State	Department of	Fisheries & Wildlife;	USGS.
Comment and other source	(date):	#6	



## SURFACE WATER ROUTE Data & Comments Sixth Page Targets 1. Type of Surface Water Use (check one): □ Drinking Water Possible Drinking Water Recreation Agricultural or Industrial Quality Impacted Quality not Impacted (but within 3 miles) ☐ No Surface Water Bodies (within 3 miles) Distance to the Intake or Contact Point (miles): \_ 2. Distance to Sensitive Environment (miles): 3. Comments: Selby Pond, a wetland, is located approximately 0.7 miles east of Synthetic

# AIR ROUTE Instructions & Sources First Page

For the air pathway, site characteristics are considered to address the potential for release even if no release has been documented. But the air pathway differs somewhat from the groundwater and surface water routes in assessing containment. In the case of air releases, *current* conditions must be used in completing these forms to assess the likelihood of releases.

#### A. Observed Release

\*1. Is there an observed, unpermitted, ongoing release?

Yes, if there is a documented, unpermitted, ongoing release to the air route from a SWMU; or No, if there is not a documented release. Documentation may include analytical evidence, a report by a regulatory agency or by a facility employee stating that a release has occurred, or by indirect evidence. Do not score an observed release based on an isolated explosion or fire, but event should be noted in comments.

Sources (circle): Monitoring Reports: Inspection	Reports Site	Visit; 3007	Response;
TRI; Department of Environmental Protection.	$\sim$		
Comment and other source (date):	1.7		



# AIR ROUTE Data & Comments First Page

### A. Observed Release

Is there an observed, unpermitted, ongoing release?
 (circle one)

M

Yes



#### Comments:

No information in the files or from site visit to indicate a release to the air.

# AIR ROUTE Instructions & Sources Second Page

1 ** _ 1**	and of Deleger	*	E.
Likeline	ood of Release	180	•
•1.	Does the facility have an oper	rating air permit?	
	Yes, if the facility has an ope	rating permit; or No, if it does not.	
	Sources (circle): EPA; Depart Comment and other source (c	ment of Environmental Protection.	
*2.	Have there been any permit v	iolations or odor complaints by residents?	
	Yes, if there have been permi	t violations or odor complaints; or No, if t	here have not.
	Sources (circle): Department Comment and other source (c		
3.	Can contaminants migrate int	o air?	90
		to air is possible; or No, if contaminant ret #4 for determining contaminants of con	- 11 C - 13100
	Sources (circle): EPA; Versar Comment and other source (c		
•4.	Containment (circle one):	•	
	entering the air pathway.	f the physical barriers in place to inhibit Interpret the descriptions in site repo ng criteria as guidelines (for CURRENT co	orts or similar
	Unit	Containment/Migration Potential	Score
	Closed Container/Tank	Inside Building	Very Good
	Land-based Unit	Covered	Very Good
	Storage Tank	Underground	Very Good
	Closed Container/Tank	Open Area	Good
	Open Container/Tank	Inside Building	Fair
	Open Storage Tank	Underground	Fair
	Open Container/Tank	Open Area	Poor
	Land-based Unit	Open	Poor
	Contaminated Surface Soil		Poor .
		sider the entire set of drums in the storagent. Outdoor wastewater treatment p	

CONFIDENTIAL

DRAFT

Sources (circle): Site Visit 3007 Response; Inspection Reports.
Comment and other source (date):

Unit scored linclude description and dates in usel; use Worksheet #1:

	*	AIR ROUTE Data & Comments Second Page	C n f	E t i m	
R	) ikai	hood of Release	r	a t	
-			9	d	
	1.	Does the facility have an operating air permit? (circle one)	P		
		Yes (No)			
	2.	Have there been any permit violations or odor complaints by residents? (circle one)	又		
		Yes No		20	
	3.	Can contaminants migrate into air? (circle one)	P		
		Yes No		¥	
	4.	Containment (circle one):		M	
		☐ Very Good ☐ Good ☐ Fair	_		
		El Poor			

Comments:

no information in filer reviewed about permits, violations, or complaints. Staining on floor noted.

**DRAFT**CONFIDENTIAL

# AIR ROUTE Instructions & Sources Third Page

~	Malanta	Characteristics
U	AASSIG	Custacteuzilez

2.

1. Chemical Name and/or RCA Waste Code Number

Enter the one chemical or waste code of most concern (for the air route) as defined by the chemical with the highest Sax toxicity rating, as found in Appendix A. Use Worksheet #4 to determine toxicity for each chemical of concern for the air route (included in Worksheet #2).

Sources (circle): For determining contaminants of concern: Site Visit Soil Analytical

Data; Permits. For determining most toxic Comment and other source (date):	compound: See Appendix A.
Toxicity	· ·
Value for the chemical or waste of concer	n. Refer to Worksheet #4.
Sources (circle): See Appendix A.	

## WORKSHEET #4 Chemical Toxicity Values for Air Route

Identify and list each chemical at the site which has the potential to migrate to the air route. List the RCRA waste code and CAS number, if known. Obtain toxicity values from Appendix A for each chemical. Use the worksheet to select the chemical with the highest toxicity value (0-3) and enter its name and value in the Waste Characteristics section for the air route.

CAS#	Chemical Name and/or Waste Code	toxicity 0-3
	Lead-based powders (DOUR)	3
	lead oxide, cadmium, chromium	3
4	Zine	2
	Raw Product Oils	
	Vinyl Silane	

-32- Check here if additional sheets DRAFT

		AIR ROUTE	٥	8
		Data & Comments	n	2
		Third Page	f	i
			i	m
				a
C.	Wast	te Characteristics	m	2
٧	*****	ondidotenotes		0
		0 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -	d	d
	1.	Chemical Name and/or RCRA Waste Code Number		
		Lead-based powder (1008)		
	2.	Toxicity Value (circle one)	図	
		0 1 2 (3)		

Comments:

# AIR ROUTE Instructions & Sources Fourth Page

### \*3. Waste Quantity

The air route should be completed using current waste quantities and current containment conditions.

Report wastes only if Containment is other than "VERY GOOD." If Containment is "VERY GOOD" for all units, waste quantity equals zero.

If quantity is known, convert data to a common unit, assume 1 ton = 1 cubic yard = 4 drums. For the purpose of converting bulk storage, assume 1 drum = 50 gallons. Enter quantity in cubic yards, tons, or drums.

If quantity is unknown, estimate waste quantity using the following criteria:

< 10 yd³ (or < 40 drums) small 100 - 1,000 yd³ (or 400 - 4,000 drums) large > 1,000 yd³ (or > 4,000 drums) large storage or disposal areas

If the site has multiple solid waste management units (SWMUs), use combined waste quantities. Use the amount of volatiles and particulates with containment values less than "VERY GOOD" to determine waste quantity for the air route. If the facility discharges to air, include the amount of waste released in determining waste quantity.

Sources (circle): Part A; Inspection Reports; 3007 Response.	
	1 7
Comment and other source (date):	1.6

rourn rage	r m e	a 1 e
3. Waste Quantity Known? (circle one)		
Yes		
If YES, enter actual amount:		
cubic yards or tons  1-2 drums		
If No, check one:		÷ .
Is amount likely to be small? Is amount likely to be large? Are large storage or disposal areas present?		
Comments:	is .	
Only 1-2 barrels of kerosene sludge per year ge from this AOC	ren	ted

AIR ROUTE

# AIR ROUTE Instructions & Sources Fifth Page

D.	Targets	
*	•1.	Population
		Determine if residences, industries, and agriculture are located within 4 miles of the site. Residence indicates a single person or more. Check most critical item which applies.
		Sources (circle): GIS: Site Visit: Local Planning Department.  Comment and other source (date):
÷	<b>*</b> 2.	Distance to Sensitive Environments?
		Enter the nearest distance from the site to a sensitive environment in miles. Sensitive environment includes freshwater wetlands (greater than 2 acres), marshes, swamps, parks (national or state), and critical habitats of state and federal proposed and listed endangered species.
1.		Sources (circle): GIS; State Department of Fisheries & Wildlife; USGS.  Comment and other source (date):



	Data & Comments Fifth Page		n i i	i m
<u>).</u>	Targets .		e e	e d
	1. Population (check one only)			
	Are residences located within 4 miles (most critical)?  Are other industries located within 4 miles?  Are agricultural lands located within 4 miles (least critical)?  Any other situation? Please comment:	e	x *	
	2. Distance to Sensitive Environments (miles)			M
	Comments:			
	Selby Pond is approximately 0.7 miles east	1-Sy	ntle	tic
	Products	* *	1	

-37-

### ON-SITE ROUTE Instructions & Sources First Page

The on-site exposure pathway assesses the potential that people or sensitive environments will have direct physical contact with hazardous constituents or contaminated soil.

A.	Access	to	Site

	1.	Is t	he	site	accessible	to	nearby	residents	:7
--	----	------	----	------	------------	----	--------	-----------	----

Rate the accessibility as follows:

A 24-hour surveillance system or a barrier (fence, etc.) is in place with a means to control entry:

Inaccessible

Score

A less than 24-hour ecurity guard but no barrier; OR a barrier but no separate means to control entry; OR a fence that is partially open:

Limited Access

No barrier and no security guard:

**Unlimited Access** 

Sources (circle): Site Visit, Facility Inquiry.
Comment and other source (date):

#### B. Observed Soil Contamination

#### \*1. Is there observed soil contamination?

Yes, if there is sampling information showing concentrations of contaminants greater than background; or No, if there is not a documented release to soil. If indirect evidence such as stressed vegetation, indicates a release, estimate Yes and comment. Do not score an observed release if contaminated soil is covered by 2 feet or more of clean soil or is covered by concrete or asphalt.

Sources	(circle):	Monitoring	Reports	;(Site	Visit: 3007	Response.
Commen	t and or	ther source	(date):			



ON-	SI	TE	RC	וטכ	E
Data	&	Co	m	me	nts
F		st F	280	e	

#### A. Access to site

1. Rate the accessibility of the site (check one):

Inaccessible
Limited access

There is a fence on two sides of the property limiting access to the site. All hazardous wastes are stored inside the building and are therefore, inaccessable to the public.

### B. Observed Soil Contamination

1. Is there observed soil contamination? (circle one):

No

Yes

Comments:



### ON-SITE ROUTE Instructions & Sources Second Page

#### C. Containment

 Containment is a measure of the physical barriers in place to inhibit a waste from entering the on-site pathway either now or in the past. Use the same containment factor selected in the Groundwater Route section:

Unit	Containment/Migration Potential	Score
Sealed Container/Tank	Sound Secondary Containment	Very Good
Sealed Container/Tank	Unsound Secondary Containment	Good
Leaky Container/Tank	Sound Secondary Containment	Good
Underground Storage Tank	Tank Integrity Unknown	Good
Sealed Container/Tank	No Secondary Containment	Fair
Leaky Container/Tank	Unsound Secondary Containment	Fair
Leaky Container/Tank	No Secondary Containment	Poor
Land-based Unit	,	Poor
•	•	*
	on and dates in use); use Worksheet #1:	
Sources (circle) Investigation	Reports Site Visit 3007 Response.	

Comment and other source (date): \_

**DRAFT**CONFIDENTIAL

### **ON-SITE ROUTE** Data & Comments Second Page

### Containment:

1.	Cananiamana		/-bl-	1
	Containment	score	(cueck	one:

☐ Very Good

☐ Good

the barrels appeared to be in good condition on a concrete floor, however there was no secondary containment a staining was noted.

### ON-SITE ROUTE Instructions & Sources Third Page

D.	Waste	Character	ristics

2.

1. Chemical Name and/or Waste Code Number

Enter the one chemical or waste code of most concern (for the on-site route) as defined by the chemical with the highest Sax toxicity rating, as found in Appendix A. Use Worksheet #5 to determine toxicity for each chemical for the on-site route (included in Worksheet #2).

Sources (circle): For determining contaminants of concern: Part A; Sin Analytical Data. For determining most toxic compound: See Appendix A Comment and other source (date):	
Toxicity	
Value for the chemical or waste of concern. Refer to Worksheet #5.	
Sources (circle): See Appendix A	

## WORKSHEET #5 Chemical Toxicity Value for On-Site Route

Identify and list each chemical at the site which has the potential to migrate to the on-site route. List the RCRA waste code and CAS number, if known. Obtain toxicity values from Appendix A for each chemical. Use the worksheet to select the chemical with the highest toxicity value (0-3) and enter its name and value in the Waste Characteristics section for the on-site route.

CAS#	Chemical Name and/or Waste Code	toxicity 0-3
Le	ad-based powders (Doug)	3
Les	Joxide, cadminin, chromium	3
1.5	inc	2
R	iw Product Oil	
IV	nyl Silane	

		ON-SITE ROUTE	0	8
		Data & Comments	n	1
		Third Page	i	m
			r	a
			m	*
-	10/		•	•
<u>D.</u>	AAS	te Toxicity	d	d
	1.	Chemical Name and/or RCRA Waste Code Number:	M	
		Lead base) powder (0008)		
	2.	Toxicity Value (circle one):	X	
		0 1 2 3	*	

Comments:

# ON-SITE ROUTE Instructions & Sources Fourth Page

<u>E.</u>	Targets	<u>s</u>
	•1.	Distance to Residential Areas
		Determine the distance to the nearest residence (in miles).
		Sources (circle): GIS: USGS; GEMS; Local Planning Department; Area Maps.  Comment and other source (date):
	*2.	On-Site Sensitive Environments
		Yes, if there is a sensitive environment within facility boundaries or in areas with soil contamination due to facility operations; or No, if there is not a sensitive environment on-site. Sensitive environments include freshwater wetlands (greater than 2 acres), marshes, swamps, parks (national or state), and critical habitats of state and federal proposed and listed endangered species.
		Sources (circle): GIS; State Department of Fisheries & Wildlife; USGS.  Comment and other source (date):

# ON-SITE ROUTE Data & Comments Fourth Page

### E. Targets

- 1. Distance to nearest residential area (miles): O. /
- 2. Is there an on-site sensitive environment (circle one)?

Yes



Comments:

The nearest residence is only about 25-30 Feet west of the Synthetic building.

**DRAFT**CONFIDENTIAL